FORM ACF-202 - TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Da	te of Completion: 3-1	-2016		
Sta	ate: Michigan	Fiscal Ye	ar to which credit applies: 201	16
	Overall Report Two-parent Report	(check one)	Apply the overall credit to the participation rate?	two-parent yes X no
,			hanges Made Since FY 2005 etion for EACH change)	
1.	Work First pro	hat work eligible individuals	applying for cash assistance be referrillity was suspended effective 6/1/200	red immediately to the 16. This policy was
2.		eligibility change: mediately referred to Work I reinstated 5/2/2007.	First program as a condition of eligibili	ity was suspended
3.	Description of policy, in	cluding the change from p	rior policy:	
-	 Mandatory We program upon eligibility. 	ork First (WF) / Jobs, Educati application. Previous policy	on and Training (JET) clients must be a had temporarily eliminated this requ	referred to the WF/JET irement as a condition of
4.	(attach supporting mater	ials to this form):	ne estimated impact of this eligibiling can be attached to this policy.	ty change
			,	
5.		4	y change on caseload in comparison	n xraani N/A

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- A solely state-funded group of cases was created.
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2006
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Effective 10/1/2006, a solely state-funded group of cases was created. This group is comprised of two-parent families and cases in which the adult is incapacitated greater than 90 days.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - The solely state-funded program cases are tracked monthly via Michigan's Green Book
 monthly and annual reports. For this report the Fiscal Year 2015 Annual Report: Table 11
 was used to capture the actual number of solely state-funded FIP cases. The actual
 monthly numbers were inputted into the attached Solely State-Funded Cases impact table.
 The impact table took the actual monthly numbers entered and calculated the monthly
 average for the fiscal year.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 5,816 cases

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- A 19 year old attending high school full-time is no longer considered a dependent child in the eligible FIP group.
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2011
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, a 19 year old that attended high school full-time and was expected to
 graduate before the age of 20, was a mandatory group member in the eligible FIP group. This child was in
 the FIP group as a dependent child until the child turned 20 years old, or graduated from high school,
 whichever occurred first.
 - State law, MCL 400.57(1)(c) changed the definition of a dependent child, eliminating any 19 year olds' active FIP.
 - If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 19 year old, the FIP case closed. The cases reported as closed were due to the only child in the home that was 19 years old. Cases that had other children in the home or a pregnant grantee remained open, but the needs of the 19 year old were removed.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, 19 Year Olds Removed as a Dependent Child. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was
 calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5%
 decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 43 cases

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- A 16 or 17 year old not attending high school full-time is disqualified from receiving FIP.
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2011
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, a 16 or 17 year old that was not attending high school full-time was required to participate at Michigan's employment and training program.
 - Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status, was changed and the 16 or 17 year old will now be disqualified from receiving FIP and will not be referred to Michigan's employment and training program.
 - If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 16 or 17 year old who was not attending high school full-time, then the FIP case closed. The cases closed were due to the only child in the home being the disqualified child. Cases that had other children or a pregnant grantee in the home remained open, but the needs of the 16 or 17 year old were removed.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, 16/17 Year Olds Disqualified for not Attending School. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was
 calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5%
 decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 14 cases

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- Financial need exists for FIP if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted. If the deficit is less than \$10, the FIP case is closed.
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2011
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, after budgeting any earned or unearned income, if a group's FIP grant was under \$10, the FIP case would remain open/approved, but the client would not receive the grant amount.
 - Policy item BEM 518, FIP Income Budgeting, was updated stating financial need exists if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted.
 - A deficit of at least \$10 is required to receive a cash benefit. If the deficit is less than \$10, financial need does not exist and the FIP group is not eligible to receive benefits. The FIP case will close.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, Less Than \$10 Deficit. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was
 calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5%
 decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 248 cases

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- Asset limit of \$500,000 for property assets.
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2011
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, property assets were excluded from determining FIP eligibility.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec. 686(3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$500,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
 - If a FIP group has more than \$500,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
 - This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2013 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- A person convicted two or more times for a drug related felony is disqualified from FIP.
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2011
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, the department only disqualified an individual receiving FIP if that person was in violation of the terms of their probation/parole and the conduct for which the person was convicted occurred after August 22, 1996. If the person was not in violation of the terms of probation or parole, the FIP benefits were paid in the form of restricted payments.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec 619(2), changed this policy to disqualify an individual if the individual was convicted in two or more separate cases of a felony that included the possession, use, or distribution of a controlled substance after August 22, 1996.
 - If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the individual who was disqualified due to a second drug related felony, then the FIP case closed. The cases that may close would be due to the disqualification of the only person in the group receiving FIP. Cases that had other individuals eligible to receive FIP remained open, but the needs of the disqualified individual were removed.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, *Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related Felony*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was
 calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5%
 decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 cases

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- The 60 month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of *closing* FIP cases that included adult individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2011
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months to adult individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
 - Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for adult individuals. Once an adult individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
 - Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
 - Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
 - A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an adult individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, *Federal Time Limit Closures*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was
 calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5%
 decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 2,832 cases

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- The 60 month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of *denying* FIP *applications* that included adult individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2011
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months to adult individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
 - Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for adult individuals. Once an adult individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
 - Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
 - Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
 - A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an adult individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months. If a family applies for FIP with an adult individual in the FIP group that has received over 60 federally funded FIP months, the application is *denied*.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, Federal Time Limit Application Denials. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was
 calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5%
 decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 2,166 cases

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- An adult individual in a group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime.
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2007
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
 - From the policy start date of 10/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month an adult individual could reach 48 months and have their FIP closed due to the state time limit.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, *State Time Limit Closures*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was
 calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5%
 decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of
 cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into
 the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1,134 cases

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- An adult individual in the FIP group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime which had the effect of *denying* FIP *applications* that included adult individuals that received over 48 months of FIP.
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

• 10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
 - From the policy start date of 1/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month an adult individual could have reached 48 months. If the family applies for FIP after 10/1/2011 with an adult individual in the FIP group that has received over 48 months of FIP, the *application* is *denied*.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, State Time Limit Denials. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was
 calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5%
 decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 150 cases

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- If a dependent child in the FIP group age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

• 10/1/2012

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to this policy change, the FIP case would remain open/approved if a family included a child 6-15
 years old that was not attending school full-time.
- Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status, was updated stating if a dependent child age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.
- If it is verified that a dependent child 6-15 years old in the FIP group is not attending school full-time, the FIP case will close for the entire group.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, 6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was
 calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5%
 decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of
 cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into
 the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 182 cases

		•	
Date of Completion:	3-1-2016		

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- Asset limit of \$250,000 for property assets.
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2013
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$500,000.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2013, 2013 P.A. 59 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$250,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
 - If a FIP group has more than \$250,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
 - This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2014 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A

OMB Control No.: 0970-0338

Date of Completion: 3	-1-2016
-----------------------	---------

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- Asset limit of \$200,000 for property assets.
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2014
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$250,000.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2014, 2014 P.A. 252 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$200,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
 - If a FIP group has more than \$200,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, \$200,000 Property Asset Limit. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was
 calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5%
 decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 cases

FORM ACF-202 - TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

PART 2 - Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

Expiration Date: September 30, 2017

OMB Control No.: 0970-0338

T
PART
刀
_
N
1
Ш
S
Ħ
2
₹
W
of
C
σ
sel
÷
ă
Ō.
Z
Õ
edu
ក
ij,
Ö
_
Ω
red
₽.
=

Net Impact	60 month federal time limit DENIALS	48 month state time limit DENIALS	Solely state-funded program	6-15 year old not attending school full-time	Persons convicted of two drug related felonies	\$200,000 property asset limit	48 month state time limit CLOSURES	60 month federal time limit CLOSURES	Less than \$10 deficit	16/17 yr olds disqualified for not attending school	19 yr olds removed as dependent children	Impact of All Changes
-12,585	-2,166	-150	-5,816	-182	0	0	-1,134	-2832	-248	<u>-</u> 74	4	
	Caseload Reduction Credit =	Decline - Net Impact	Caseload Decline	Adjusted FY 2015 Caseload	Excess MOE Cases in FY 2015	Total FY 2015 Caseload	FY 2015 SSP Caseload	FY 2015 TANF Caseload	Total FY 2005 Caseload	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	FY 2005 TANF Caseload	Caseload Reduction Calculation
	on Credit =	49,122	61,707	18,888	2,466	21,354		21,354	80,595		80,595	
	60.9%		76.6%									

Excess MOE Calculation Worksheet

Adjusted FY 2015 2-parent Caseload	Adjusted FY 2015 Overall Caseload	Adjusted Caseload Data					Total FY 2015 Caseload	FY 2015 2-p SSP Caseload	FY 2015 2-p TANF Caseload	Total FY 2005 Caseload	FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	2-Parent Caseload Data		Total FY 2015 Caseload	FY 2015 SSP Caseload	FY 2015 TANF Caseload	Total FY 2005 Caseload	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	FY 2005 TANF Caseload	Caseload Data
0	18,888						0	0	0	0	0	0			21,354	0	21,354	80,595	0	80,595	
2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE	Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE		Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance	Excess MOE Expenditures	Required MOE (80% or 75%)	MOE and Excess MOE	Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance	Average Expenditures per Case	Expenditures Per Case		Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance	Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)	FY 2015 MOE Expenditures on Assistance	FY 2015 Federal Expenditures on Assistance	Assistance Expenditures		Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)	FY 2015 Total MOE Expenditures	FY 2015 Total Federal Expenditures	Total Expenditures	Expenditure Data
)E 0	2,466		\$17,287,054	\$149,583,287	\$468,518,376	Table percent (CTITATIV PROMINE)	\$7,011	\$60,662			11.56%	\$149,705,357	\$26,676,188	\$123,029,169			\$1,295,386,670	\$618,101,663	\$677,285,007		

Federal Time Limit Closures

Date of Completion:

•	
•	
ŧ	(
₹.	•
_	7
)	- 1
_	:
•	_
-	-
	-
?	•
₹ .	
•	- (
•	- 2
	7
	٠,
	- 7
	١,
	-
	1
	•
	•
	-
_	
J	
3	
~	

*****	Total	Aug Sep	Jul	Jun	May	Apr	Mar	Feb	Jan	Dec	Nov	Oct	Prior y	Time or	1		
	3,507											42	3,465	Time of Closure	Oct		
,	3,367										35	40	3,292		Nov		
	3,238									40	33	38	3,127		Dec		
	3,110								33	38	32	3 6	2,971		Jan	Impact	
	2,980							26	ယ္	36	30	34	2,822		Feb	on Each	
	2,868						37	25	30	3 <u>4</u>	29	32	2,681		Mar	Impact on Each Month in FY?	
	2,758					34	35	23	28	33	27	3	2,547		Apr	in FY?	
	2,647				26	32	33	22	27	<u>ა</u>	26	29	2,420		May		
	2,542			27	25	3	3 3 2	21	26	29	24	28	2,299		Jun		
ֶּי	2,438		24	26	23	29	30	20	24	28	23	26	2,184		Jul		Date of Completion.
、2 monthly	2,324	7	23	24	22	28	29	19	23	27	23	25	2,075		Aug	2016	on pieuon.
FY ? monthly average	2,207	0	22	23	7	26	27	100	8	25	<u> </u>	24	1.971		Sep	<u>ြ</u>	
2 83 <i>2</i>	33,985	Grand															0107/47/70

Federal Time Limit Application Denials

Date of Completion: 02/24/2016

2,166	average	FY? monthly average	FY										
25,996	2,007	2,113	2,172	2,150	2,140 2,1	2,143	2,155	2,179	2,211	2,226	2,236	2,263	Total
Grand	0	49											Aug Sep
	117 i	124	130										lu
	100	106	<u>-</u> 2	117									Jun
	85	89	94	99	104								May
	74	78	82	87	9	96							Apr
	ಣ	66	69	73	77		85						Mar
	5 <u>4</u>	57	60	64	67		74	78					Feb
	2	67	71	74	78		87	91	96				Jan
	64	68	71	75	79	83	87	92	97	102			Dec
	5	54	57	60	63		70	74	78	83	86		Nov
	89	93	98	103	109		121	127	134	1 <u>4</u>	148	156	Oct
	1,199	1,262	1,328	1,398	1,472	1,549	1,631	1,717	1,807	1,902	2	2,107	Prior y
												Time of Closure	Time
	Sep	Aug	luL	Jun	May	Apr	Mar	Feb	Jan	Dec	Nov	Oct	
		2016				n FY?	Month i	mpact on Each Month in FY?	Impact				
		Compicaci.											

State Time Limit Closures
Date of Completion:

1,134	average	FY?monthly average	 										:
13,609	899	936	973	1,017	1,105 1,058	1,105	1,191 1,148	1,191	1,248	1,286	1,339	1,408	Total
Total	T												
Grand	10												Sep
	10	크											Aug
	ග	7	7										Jul
	10	1	11	12									Jun
	7	œ	œ	ဖ	9								May
		1	12	13	<u>1</u> 3	4							Apr
	12	12	13	14	14	15	16						Mar
-	۲)	Çī	5	တ	o	တ	7	7					Feb
	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	26	27				Jan
	9	ၑ	10	10	<u>~</u>	그	12	13	13	14			Dec
		>	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		Nov
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	` 0	Oct
	800	842	886	933	982	1,034	1,088	1,145	1,207	1,271	1,338	1,408	Prior y
												ime of Closure	Time c
	Sep	Aug	Jul	Jun	May	Apr	Mar	Feb	Jan	Dec	Nov	Oct	
		2016				n FY?	Impact on Each Month in FY?	on Each	Impact				
02/27/2016		mpletion:	Date of Completion:										
			()					•	,				

State Time Limit Denials Date of Completion:

	Total	Time o Time o Prior y Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr Apr Alun Jul Aug Sep
	158	Oct Time of Closure Prior y 150 Oct 8 Nov Dec lan Ilan Ilan Apr May Jun Jul Jul Jug Sep
	163	1188 Nov
	160	136 117 7
	155	129 129 14 4
	152	on Each Feb 123 7 10 4 4
	149	Impact on Each Month in FY? Jan Feb Mar Ap 129 123 117 11 7 7 7 6 11 10 10 5 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 4 4 7 7 6 8 4 4 9 4 4 10 3 11 10 3 12 4 4 13 4 4 14 4 4 15 4 4 16 4 4 17 4 4 18 4 4 19 4 4 10 4 4 10 4 4 10 4 4 10 4
	147	in FY? Apr 4 4 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
	144	May 105 6 6 6 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
	143	100 Jun 550 On 100 Jun 1000
H H	144	95 90 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
FY? monthly average	145	2016 Aug 90 5 8 3 3 3 4 4 5
' average	138	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
150	1,798	Grand

19 Year Olds Removed as a Dependent Child

	Total 48 46 45 4	Prior y 47 45 43 4 Oct 1 1 1 1 Nov 0 0 Dec 1 1 1 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep		Oct Nov Dec Jan	
	44 43	41 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Impact on Each Month in FY?	
	43	37 0 1 1 1 3		Month in F	
	45	35 4 3 1 1 1 0 1 35	1	Apr	
	44	33 33	1112	Mav	
	41	31 2 4 4 4 0 31		aul	D
FY?ı	41	20 - 22 - 1 - 1 - 0 - 1 - 29	2	<u>: </u>	Date of Completion:
FY? monthly average	40	20020022	i	2016 Aug	letion:
erage	39	<u></u>	(Sen	
43	Total 519	Grand			02/27/2016

16/17 Year Olds Disqualified for not Attending School
Date of Completion: 02/27/2016

	Total	Aug	Jun	Apr May	Mar	Feb	Jan	Dec	Nov	Oct	Prior y	Time of Closure		
	18									0	18	osure	Oct	
	18									0	17		Nov	
	17							0	>	0	16		Dec	
	16						0	0		0	15		Jan	Impact
	16					_	0	0		0	14		Feb	on Each
	15				0		0	0	-	0	13		Mar	Impact on Each Month in FY?
	14			C	0		0	0	_	0	12		Apr	FY?
	13			0 0	0		0	0		0	1		May	
	12		0	00	. 0		0	0	_ \	0	10		Jun	
F F	1) O	00	0		0	0	_	0	10		Jul	
FY? monthly average	11	0)	00	. 0		0	0		0	10		Aug	2016
average	<u> </u>	000)	0 0	0		0	0		0	10		Sep	0,
14	Total 171	Grand												

Solely State-Funded Cases

Date of Completion: 02/27/2016

5,816	average	FY? monthly average	. Ad										
69,793	5,162	5,288	5,336	5,534	5,603	5,639	5,873	6,157	6,163	6,320	6,262	6,456	Total
Grand	5,162	5,288											Aug Sep
	00	C	5,336	5,534									Jun
	000) 0 (0 (1 1 2 . (5,603	0,000							May
) O	o 0) O) O	0	л 630	5,873						Mar
	0	0	0	0	0	0		6,157					Feb
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		6,163		1		Jan
	0 0	0 0	0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (6.320	Andreas		Dec
	⊃) ()) ()	⊃ ¢	D C	-)	c	6 262	0,400	No S
)	-	>)))))	5	>		8 45 0	Prior y
												Time of Closure	Time o
	Sep	Aug	lnr	Jun	May	Apr	Mar	Feb	Jan	Dec	Nov	Oct	
		2016				n FY?	Impact on Each Month in FY?	on Each	Impact				
01/2/1/2010		npietion.	Date of Completion.										

Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related Date of Completion: 02/27/2016

0	average	FY? monthly average	, Ad			÷							
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Total
Total											•	1	
Grand	0												Sep
	0	0											Aug
	0	0	0		ı								Jui
	0	0	0	0									Jun
	0	0	0	0	0								May
	0	0	0	0	0	0							Apr
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						Mar
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					Feb
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				Jan
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Dec
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Nov
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Oct
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Prior y
			;									ime of Closure	Time
	Sep	Aug	Jul	Jun	May	Apr	Mar	Feb	Jan	Dec	Nov	Oct	
	3	2016				FY?	Month in	Impact on Each Month in FY?	Impact				
01/2/12/15		npletion:	Date of Completion:										

Less Than \$10 Deficit
Date of Completion: 02

	Total	Sep	Jul	Jun	May	Apr	Mar	Feb	Jan	Dec	Nov	Oct	Prior y	Time o				
	260											2	258	ime of Closure	Oct			
	253										6	N	245		Nov			
	255									14	O	N	233		Dec			
	258								17	1 3	රා	2	221		Jan	Impact		
	253							7	5	ದೆ	Ω 1	N	210		Feb	on Each		
	248						œ	7	<u>ವ</u>	7	O1	N	200		Mar	Impact on Each Month in FY?		
	247						œ								Apr	in FY?		
	246				<u> </u>	7	7	တ	4	<u> </u>	4.		181		May			
	244			10	10	10	7	တ	끖	10	4	_	172		Jun			
P	242		1	10	10	9	7	Ć	7	5	4	-	163		Jul		Date of Co	- 1
? month	236))	ဖ	9	9	o O	را ن	な	9	4		155		Aug	20	Date of Completion:	
FY?monthly average	236						တ			တ			147		Sep	2016		
248	Total 2,979	Grand	<u>- </u>					<u> </u>									02/27/2016	

6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time
Date of Completion: 02/27/2016

	Total	Aug Sep	Jul	Jun	May	Apr	Mar	Feb	Jan	Dec	Nov	Oct	Prior y	Time c		
	186											3	183	ime of Closure	Oct	
	182										5	ω	174		Nov	
	175									အ	ڻ ت	ω	165		Dec	
	181								14	ω	රා	ω	157		Jan	Impact
	174							2	13	ယ	4	N	149		Feb	on Each
	173						7	N	သံ	ယ	4	2	142		Mar	Impact on Each Month in FY?
	170					O	7	N	12	N	4.	N	135		Apr	n FY ?
	173				12	တ	တ	N	=	N	4	N	128		May	
	182			17	<u> </u>	ഗ	တ	N	<u></u>	N	ယ	N	122		Jun	
FY	192		19	16	\exists	ഗ്വ	တ	Ŋ	10	N	ယ	N	116		Jul	
FY? monthly average	198	16	1 8	方	10	ഗ	(J)		-	2	ယ	2	110		Aug	2016
average	197	ထ ပာ	17	<u></u>	10	ĊΊ	O1		ဖွ	<u>N</u>	ω	<u>N</u>	105		Sep	6
182	Total 2,183	Grand														

\$200,000 Property Asset Limit
Date of Completion: 02/27/2016

0	average	FY?monthly average	FY										
5							0	0	0	0	0	0	lotal
Total								•	,	,))	
Grand	0												Sep
	0	0									SER!		Aug
	0	0	0		1								Jul
	0	0	0	0									Jun
	0	0	0	0	0								May
		-			_								Apr
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						Mar
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					Feb
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				Jan
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Dec
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Nov
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Oct
												0	Prior y
												ime of Closure	Time c
	Sep	Aug	lnr	Jun	May	Apr	Mar	Feb	Jan	Dec	Nov	Oct	
	3	2016				PY?	Impact on Each Month in FY?	on Each	Impact				
02/2//2016		mpletion:	Date of Completion:										

FORM ACF-202 - TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

Terrence Beurer

(name)

Director of Field Operations Administration

(title)